UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,604	08/18/2003	John Wallace Nasielski	030277	5063
23696 7590 09/14/2009 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,604	NASIELSKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	QUYNH H. NGUYEN	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 J</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration. 2-39 is/are rejected.	ion.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the land drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the land drawing(s) is objected to be land drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 15-18, 20-25, 27-29, and 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmad et al. (2002/0082029) in view of Garg et al. (US 2004/0136505).

As to claims 1, 12, 23-24, and 34, Ahmad teaches a method of wireless communication comprising:

establishing a packet data session from a wireless communications device to support a network connection to a packet switched network (paragraphs [0007]; [0024]);

registering from the wireless communications device over the packet data session with a voice message server (*Internet Call -Waiting Server*) to receive the notification (paragraph [0039]);

transmitting a registration request and the registration request including connection information identifying the wireless communications device (Fig. 3, [0010] - [0011], [0043] [0046], [0056] - where Ahmad discussed MSC 308 transmitting voice call setup signals to BCS/BTS 316, generating a pseudo-page signal that is transmitted to ANC 324, hence connection information is in setup message);

receiving a notification at the wireless communications device of an incoming call from an Internet Call -Waiting Server (paragraph [0038]) from a circuit switch network while the network connection is active (paragraphs [0035] - [0036]).

Ahmad does not explicitly teach enabling the wireless communications device to receive a notification from the voice message server.

Garg teaches voicemail server 3 stores messages and message notification server 4 interacts with a subscriber's CPE 5 to indicate the presence of an incoming call ([0003]).

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Garg into the teachings of Ahmad for the purpose of indicating that voice mail server is busy, has new message or not.

As to claims 4-5 and 15-16, Ahmad teaches the use of a session key and a transport control protocol session is considered to be implicit in a packet data session (paragraphs [0036] and [0038]).

As to claims 6-7, 9-11, 17-18, 20-22, and 27, Ahmad teaches terminating the packet data session in response to the notification of the incoming call, and accepting the incoming call from the circuit switched network; receiving the notification at the wireless device and sending a reply over the packet data session from the wireless device in response to the notification (paragraphs [0011], [0036] and [0039]).

As to claim 25, Ahmad teaches receiving notification at the wireless communications device over the packet data session (paragraphs [0036] and [0038]).

10/643,604

Art Unit: 2614

As to claims 28 and 33, Ahmad teaches receiving the incoming call at a mobile switching center in the home network while the network connection is active (Fig. 3, voice call 360; [0024] - [0025]), routing a signal from the mobile switching center in the home network to the voice message server in the home network indicating that the wireless communications device is unavailable ([0027], [0037], [0059]), the receipt of the signal at the voice message server in the home network, prompting the routing of the notification of the incoming call from the voice message server in the home network to the wireless communications device ([0011], [0036] and [0039]), routing a reply from the wireless communications device to the voice message server in the home network, and signaling the mobile switching center in the serving network from the voice message server in the serving network to deliver the incoming call to the wireless communications device in response to the reply ([0006], [0009] - [0011], [0014], [0025], [0030], [0033] - [0035], [0039] - [0042]).

Claim 29 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, operating a wireless device in a serving network, the wireless device being assigned to a home network different from the serving network is known by the skilled person having assigned to a home network can be operating in visitor networks. The communications device is operating in a serving network different from the home network is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 27, respectively and the first limitation of claim 29.

Claims 35, 36 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 24 and 28, 28 and 29, respectively.

As to claims 37-39, Ahmad teaches connection information includes an IP address assigned to the wireless communication device ([0032] - where Ahmad discussed Internet call delivery server couple to communicate through IP network to deliver VoIP calls and relate signals, hence IP address included in the connection information).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 15-18, 20-25, 27-29, and 32-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUYNH H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/643,604

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Quynh H Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614